



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,469		03/01/2002	Raymond A. Hui	9793/96 (RDID 01061)	6651
23690	7590	03/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Roche Dia	gnostics (Corporation	CEPERLEY, MARY		
9115 Hague	Road	•			
PO Box 50457				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapoli	Indianapolis, IN 46250-0457			1641	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,469	HUI, RAYMOND A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,19-24,26,27,32,33,48 and 51-54 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,12,13 and 51-53 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-11,14,15,19-24,26,27,32,33,48 and 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ad 54 is/are rejected.		
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The objected to by the Examiner 16. **The objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The objected to by the Examiner 19. **The objected to by the Examiner 19. **The objected to by the Examiner 11. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/087,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

1) Although specific claims may be discussed in the rejections below, these rejections are also applicable to all other claims in which the noted problems/language occur.

2) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3) Claims 1, 4-11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There is no enablement present in the specification for the preparation of the compounds of claim 1 wherein "T" is defined as "a hydroxy" or "a leaving group". First, there are no well known synthesis methods for compounds wherein "M-T" is defined, for example, as -S-OH, -NH(C=NH)-OH or -O(CO)NH-O-H {"T" = hydroxy}. Second, the "leaving group" is described in the specification at page 8, lines 9-18 (definition of "T"?) as consisting of groups which are attached to a "carbonyl carbon" ("whereby the oxygen-containing portion of the ester that is attached to the carbonyl carbon is displaced in the course of the reaction"). The use of "leaving groups" such as those defined at page 8 of the specification would result in compounds wherein "-M-T" would be defined, for example, as -O(CO)NH-O-C(O)CH₂CF₃ or -NH(CS)-C(O)-O-*N*-succinimide, compounds which are clearly not enabled.

4) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1641

5) Claims 19, 21-23, 26, 32, 33, 48 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation of claim 19 "with the proviso that when M is –O-, T is not H" is inconsistent with the definition of "T" in independent claim 51 wherein "T" is defined as "a macromolecular carrier".

6) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- **8)** Claims 19-24, 26, 27, 32, 33, 48 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)/103(a) as being anticipated by or obvious over Rouhani et al (US 2003/0207469).

Rouhani et al describe immunogens which correspond to the structure depicted in product-by-process claim 19 {i.e. wherein "R²" is not a "protecting group"}, the antibodies produced from these immunogens and the conventional use of the antibodies in an immunoassay {see the description of the reference appearing in paragraph *6*} of the June 17, 2005 Office action}. In accordance with the

Application/Control Number: 10/087,469 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

reasoning set forth in MPEP 2113 {Product-by-process claims}, the claimed antibodies appear to be substantially identical to or obvious over the antibodies of the reference for the reason that the claimed antibodies are prepared from the <u>same</u> immunogen described by Rouhani et al. The fact that the immunogen used to prepare the antibody is *itself* prepared using a certain reagent {the compound of claim 51} does not negate the fact that both Rouhani et al and claim 19 use <u>the same immunogen</u> to prepare the antibody, the resulting antibodies thus being expected to have identical specificities.

9) Claims 2, 3, 12, 13 and 51-53 are allowed.

10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The

examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 28, 2006

Mary E. Ceperley Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1641